mll

DIRECTIONS '77 PROGRAM

 \mathfrak{S}

ප

AMERICAN FORCES RADIO AND TELEVISION SERVICE

Admiral Stansfield Turner, Guest: Director of CIA

Corr: John Uschold

LIVE TAPE TRANSCRIPTION

9 JUNE 1977 (taping Sessien)

PROCEEDINGS

2

MR. USCHOLD: Our guest on Directions is
Admiral Stansfield Turner, Director of Central Intelligence.

We'll be discussing the key issues involving the intelligence community and the Department of Defense.

Admiral Turner, many people when they think of the Central Intelligence Agency conjure up the idea of a mystical Mission Impossible organization: the cloak and dagger, trench coat, the Agent 007 kind of thing.

How would you characterize Central Intelligence?

ADMIRAL TURNER: The secret agent part of our business out here at the Central Intelligence Agency is a very important but a relatively small part of our activities.

The Central Intelligence Agency was created in 1947 to have one central focal point for intelligence in this country that was not related to policy making as are the Department of Defense and the Department of State.

So one of the most important functions we play here is to collate and bring together all of the intelligence that is derived in all of the agencies of the Government that play a part in intelligence.

A

б

·9

That's a massive analytic function. It's like a major research organization in a university. That's perhaps the biggest activity here. The covert, secretive collection of intelligence is another and important part. It's one of the contributors to that.

But the major thing we do here is really research.

MR. USCHOLD: There has been some criticism of the covert operations, the dirty tricks aspect. Is there a need for this?

ADMIRAL TURNER: There is primarily a need to have a standby capability to do covert action. Covert action is trying to influence events in other countries as opposed to collecting intelligence.

They are really separate functions. It happens that the Congress resided the covert action function in the Central Intelligence Agency. It could have been put other places.

There are situations such as the possibility that a terrorist group might gain access to a nuclear weapon, and this country would be very unhappy I think if we did not have a standby covert action capability to influence that kind of a situation.

MR. USCHOLD: How great is that potential for a terrorist group, really, to get their hands on

a nuclear weapon?

ADMIRAL TURNER: It's always a considerable danger by means of theft. It's a considerable danger should we have more nuclear proliferation into more and more countries of the world whose security procedures with their weapons might not be as good as ours.

We are quite confident that ours are secure.

MR. USCHOLD: Your position now as Director of Central Intelligence takes in more than just the CIA itself. I wonder if you would explain that.

ADMIRAL TURNER: The law of 1947 provides that I have two jobs: Director of Central Intelligence and the Director of the Central Intelligence Agency.

The latter, the Agency, is just one element of the intelligence community, we call it. As Director of Central Intelligence, I'm responsible for coordinating all of the intelligence activities in the United States Government, including those in the Department of Defense, the Department of State, the Treasury Department, the F.B.I. and so on; that is, the intelligence part of the F.B.I., not the law enforcement part of it.

If they acquire intelligence in the process of law enforcement, they turn it over to us, and we do that.

In this degree, I have some authority over all

Approved For Release 2007/10/19: CIA-RDP99-00498R000300040015-2

the elements of intelligence in the Department of Defense.

There is a fine line here of shared control with the Secretary of Defense because they are his elements, he gets the budget for them, and basically operates them; I give the overall direction as to what is desired.

As Director of Central Intelligence Agency,
I am actually the operating head of one of the many
components of the intelligence community.

MR. USCHOLD: Now within the Department of Defense there is the Defense Intelligence Agency. Is there a duplication of effort?

admiral Turner: There is a degree of duplication of effort between the research function and the Department of Defense's Intelligence Agency, the CIA's Research Intelligence Agency, and the State Department's research function, and that's a very desirable thing because when you interpret the facts of intelligence there is never a right or a wrong answer; it's a matter of interpretation, understanding, and you want different viewpoints, and there is why we like to have a sort of competing analysis situation between Defense, State, and the CIA and, in some cases, Treasury and other elements in particular specialties.

. 9

2:1

3

MR. USCHOLD: It seems somewhat incongruous that here we have an admiral who is the Director of Central Intelligence reporting to the President, but yet over on the other side you have the Department of Defense and an intelligence community there.

I should think that the admiral in this case the chain of command would be through the Joint Chiefs and the Secretary, of course, and then to the President. I wonder if you would explain that relationship.

ADMIRAL TURNER: Again, back to the law of 1947 which established this operation, it specifically provides that the Director of Central Intelligence may be an active duty or a retired military officer or a civilian.

It also provides that if it is an active duty military officer he will carry out no responsibilities to the Department of Defense or report to anybody in the Department of Defense.

So I am prohibited from being in any way subordinate to the chairman of the Joint Chiefs of Staff or even the Secretary of Defense with regard to my business.

I do hold my military rank and privileges and my military pay.

MR. USCHOLD: That's another question that I

know has been presented to you a number of times as some charge that you're really using this position as a stepping stone, that you are, should I say, a transient custodian of CIA, and that ultimately you would like to be Chief of Naval Operations or even Chairman of the Joint Chiefs.

I wonder if you would tell us what your personal goals, your personal career goals, are in this case.

ADMIRAL TURNER: I've had the same career goal for 31 years, John, and that's to serve this country in the best way I can.

On the 3rd of February the President asked me to come back here, and I didn't know why. When he told me he thought I could best serve the country as the Director of Central Intelligence, I gave him the standard answer: aye, aye, sir: if that's what you want me to do, I'll do it.

In the future I'll do the same thing: If he wants me to leave and retire and become a civilian, I'll do that.

If he wants me to go to a military spot of any sort, I'll do that. I would suspect that there's a real need for the incumbent in my place to stay here a while.

11.

-12

We've had too many Directors of the CIA and Central Intelligence in the last few years. For unfortunate reasons, people have only stayed short terms, and that's not good for as important an organization as this.

Finally, let me just say that I'm offended when people accuse me of stepping stoning. I did not ask for this job. I am very pleased to have it.

It's an honor to have it, and there's a tremendously important task to be done for our country here, and I'm pleased to have been given that responsibility.

But I'm not sitting here looking for what I can get tomorrow; I am interested in serving our country as well as I can today.

MR. USCHOLD: Being here only a short time, nevertheless, some say that your running of the CIA, that you run a tight ship and that has caused some morale problems.

How do you look at this?

ADMIRAL TURNER: Well, we have a saying in the Navy that a taut ship is a happy ship. Yes, I always like to run a taut ship.

I don't believe there is a morale problem out here. I think there is some concern at this time

because there's lots of discussion in the newspapers of a major reorganization of the intelligence community.

And if you can put yourself in the shoes of these dedicated people here in the Intelligence Agency, you realize that they have been under attack in the press, under investigation by the Congress for 2-1/2 years.

Now somebody is talking about reorganizing, and naturally they are concerned. They want to know what's going to happen.

That's the principal morale problem here, and we're doing everything we can to resolve that as quickly as we can because they deserve to know what the future is going to be.

But I can only say that although the details are still being ironed out in the executive branch and with the Congress, there is no question that we must have a strong intelligence community and that the CIA is going to be an important part of it.

MR. USCHOLD: Before coming to this position, you were over in Europe and in a position as Commander and Chief of Allied Forces, Southern Europe.

Based upon your experience there and your present position, how do you perceive the strength of NATO vis-a-vis the Warsaw Pact countries?

11 .

ADMIRAL TURNER: I still think NATO has an edge on the Warsaw Pact countries in all important categories of warfare.

At the same time, the growing strength of the Warsaw Pact, particularly on ground forces in Central Europe, is an alarming trend, and we must continue to match that growing strength or we will be in a position of inferiority.

I think, however, John, that you must look to the fact that NATO is a free alliance of 15 nations who voluntarily get together, and they sometimes squabble with each other, and it comes out in the public like the very debilitating dispute between the Greeks and the Turks during the last several years, which hurts the Alliance.

But in the long run, there is strength in the freedom to have different opinions and to dispute because you don't have that in the Warsaw Pact.

There is one boss, one dominating country, and I'm not sure the Soviets can fully count on the alliance that they've got; I'm sure that we can if the chips are down in ours.

MR. USCHOLD: What about President Carter's plan to withdraw ground troops from South Korea and General (Singloves) concern over this; does that have

any validity as far as you are concerned?

ADMIRAL TURNER: I think that you have to appreciate that we've had 24 years of peace in Korea, and that means to me there's been a relative stability, a relative military balance.

The other side, which I think is an aggressive undemocratic nation, has not found it propitious to take any military action, so in some sense there's been a stand-off.

When the United States pulls its some 33,000 ground forces out of Korea, the situation clearly will change.

But the issue, John, will be what can be done between now and the withdrawal of those forces to replace their fighting capability: not necessarily man for man and tube for tube and tank for tank, but their overall capability.

And I think that it's quite possible that that capability can be absorbed by the South Korean armed forces.

We'll have to watch and see. And it's my job to continually assess that balance. There is a . balance today, and as it goes along with the withdrawal and replacement, I'll be trying to advise the President on whether we think that balance is maintained.

1

Approved For Release 2007/10/19 : CIA-RDP99-00498R000300040015-2

MR. USCHOLD: Now in assessing the situation, whether it be in Korea or wherever in the world, how do you go about this? What factors bear on this assessment, and how do you obtain this kind of information?

ADMIRAL TURNER: Well, that's a pretty big question, but we have to try to meld together all of the intelligence inputs that we get.

We get that from unclassified sources, reading newspapers, magazines, talking with people who travel.

We get it from various technical means of collection.

We get it from the clandestine collection of the CIA with what could be described as spies.

We have to bring all this information together and piece the clues together. It's sometimes fascinating because a clue over here that appears to have no relationship to another one over here, a smart analyst, a smart researcher, will bring together and draw a conclusion from.

So we do this. We have to try to get the best estimate we can on what in this case the military forces of North Korea have, how well-trained they are: watching their training exercises in all the different ways that we can.

Then we have to also look even broader than

will they be able to afford in the way of armed forces improvement over the next five years, and weigh all that into a balance and then turn to a military analysis which says: all right, if they've got this many forces and we've got this many, but we're in a defensive posture, they're in an offensive posture, which is the assumption here, can we handle it. It's only 20-some miles from Seoul to the border. That's a particular military problem that has to be judged very carefully. It's not easy, and the answers are never concrete and exact.

MR. USCHOLD: In this work of gathering this information, do you work with, or do your people work with our military people around the world in various bases?

ADMIRAL TURNER: Oh, absolutely. A major input to an assessment of balance in Korea will come from the DIA itself.

And just a few weeks ago I spoke with the Chief of Staff of the United Nations Command in Korea. He came out here, and we had a long talk about the military balance on that front.

So we're interested in the views of the commanders on the scene, their intelligence officers.

We're interested in the opinions of the Department of

걐

Defense, and we bring it all together.

MR. USCHOLD: A while back you mentioned the fact that you wear more than one hat. This must keep your work day well-occupied. What is your typical day like?

at 8:00; we usually have a staff conference out here at 9:00 and spend 30 or 40 minutes around the table seeing what are the key items of the day; about every other day I'm up on the Hill before Congress in some form or another. I was there for 3-1/2 hours yesterday morning in one form of testimony or another.

Twice a week I have an appointment with the President to give him an intelligence update, and that takes a lot of preparation, of course, so that will mean the day before that I will try to spend two to three hours in the office working on that and calling in analysts who will tell me things that are important to bring to the President's attention.

There are frequent meetings of the National Security Council and its sub-organizations. We had one before the decision was made on Korea, for instance, that you just asked me about, and we all put our opinions on the table so they could be sent to the President.

So there's these various meetings. Then,

19.

obviously, as anyone in charge of a large organization, you've got a myriad of details and lots of people you have to see in your own organization to keep the thing running day by day.

MR. USCHOLD: This position now, has this changed your personal life style at all? Do you find yourself looking over your shoulder a little bit more?

ADMIRAL TURNER: Oh, I'd like to be back in Napoli. That was nice. No, I enjoy this very much, but it is quite a different life style than any military job that I had, simply because I'm dealing in a different arena: this is the national scene, not the military scene.

It's no more important, but it's different, and it's very interesting, but it's very demanding of my time.

MR. USCHOLD: What do you do to unwind with all the pressure?

ADMIRAL TURNER: Well, I have a good friend who's a vice admiral and he lives not far down the street, and we get out 6:30 in the morning twice a week and then usually again on Saturday and hit the tennis ball around, and if I can beat him in the morning, it really makes my day. I'm ready to go.

MR. USCHOLD: And if you lose?

1 ADMIRAL TURNER: Well, then the poor staff 2 is in bad shape that day when I come in all grumpy. 3 MR. USCHOLD: What about Mrs. Turner, how is she taking on this new role here? 4 ADMIRAL TURNER: Well, she's a good sport. 5 She sees a lot of me, but I'm always sitting there at 6 home at night reading and working. 7 But there are, of course, some interesting 8 social activities that we get involved in, and she 9 enjoys those. 10 MR. USCHOLD: A while back when President 11 Carter announced his energy plan, the CIA released a 12 report on the worldwide energy situation. Of course 13 you got some criticism for that. 14 I wonder if you would (1) address that 15 aspect: the criticism, why did this come about and (2) 16 what is your assessment of the worldwide energy 17 situation. 18 ADMIRAL TURNER: On the first point we were 19 criticized because they thought the CIA was being used 20 for a political purpose. 21 I can only assure you that absolutely is not 22 The study had been in process for over a the truth. 23 I did not know it was under way; I'd only been 24 here a couple of weeks when it was delivered to my 25

desk. Now what would you do, John: you have a report of great significance to a decision the President is in the process of making?

clearly, I had to provide it to him because it was important to him. We talked about it, and once he had made his decision and announced his energy program, it seemed that it was such an important factor that it was worth the public of the United States knowing.

MR. USCHOLD: I understand now you even said that you were going to make more material of this nature—not necessarily on energy—but more of this available to the public.

ADMIRAL TURNER: Yes.

MR. USCHOLD: Why is that?

ADMIRAL TURNER: I said that before that report was issued. In fact, one of the first policies I instituted out here was a program of declassifying information when it could be declassified.

And we had before that report came up several projects already under way and which are still under way.

My feeling is that the public pays a lot of money for this institution, and they're deserving of its product when it can be shared with them and that

Approved For Release 2007/10/19 : CIA-RDP99-00498R000300040015-2

this country will be stronger if it has a well-informed public, and to the extent that we can contribute to that without endangering the security of our information, we're going to be better.

MR. USCHOLD: Before we get into the energy question, what are some of the other subject areas that you will be releasing material on?

ADMIRAL TURNER: Let me say to begin with that this is not an entirely new policy out here. The CIA for years has published a lot of unclassified information, which is available through the Library of Congress to anybody who wants it.

We have many atlases of specific areas of the world where there are problems.

.12

And we intend just to push that a little bit more. I'd like to put out things about the world economy, what are the prospects for different minerals, what is the overall prospect for the economies of major areas of the world: China, the Soviet Union, Eastern Europe, even Western Europe to help people

understand the milieu in which we are operating.

Approved For Release 2007/10/19 : CIA-RDP99-00498R000300040015-2

a

- 18

MR. USCHOLD: Again on the question of energy. Where does the United States stand as related to the entire world situation now with energy?

ADMIRAL TURNER: Well, we stand in a position where we will have a growing requirement for the importation of oil as one of our energy sources, but the problem that we have highlighted in our report from the CIA is a global problem, and it simply is this: that we believe in the next seven or eight years the world demand for energy will exceed the world's capacity to get oil out of the ground.

We are not talking about whether there's enough oil down in mother earth to meet our needs; we are only talking about in the short-term: seven or eight years, can the world as a whole get as much out of the ground as it would like to have.

MR. USCHOLD: You're saying 1985?

ADMIRAL TURNER: Well, we say before 1985:

1982 and 1983, somewhere in there there will be a cross
over point where we will want more energy than can

physically be brought to the surface, and that means

either you've got to cut down or you've got to pay a

higher price—

MR. USCHOLD: That's in oil that you're talking about.

. 17

ADMIRAL TURNER: In oil. Now we have taken into account here how much nuclear power, how much coal, how much gas is likely to be available in that mid-term.

Other solutions like lots more nuclear power may solve that problem over the longer run, but it takes about ten years to get a nuclear power plant going in this country.

So if it isn't on the drawing board today, we didn't take credit for it. But we made all those estimates, and we just think there will be a pressure on the price of oil because of a greater demand than availability of supply sometime in the next seven or eight years.

We really don't think that will come-to pass because we think people will take action, but that's what we are trying to point out needs to be done.

MR. USCHOLD: Do you see a problem now with the Soviet Union as opposed -- as a result of this shortage?

ADMIRAL TURNER: The major factor driving our conclusion in this study was an estimate that the Soviet Union will become a net importer of oil rather than a net exporter, that they have trouble in their oil industry, and that it's just over the horizon, and that their production, which is still going up, will by the early Approved For Release 2007/10/19 CIA-RDP99-00498R000300040015-2

1980's peak and drop off sharply.

Now that's a conclusion open to dispute.

Though we find that most of the oil experts outside the Government tend to agree with us that there will be a drop-off in Soviet production, people have different estimates of how rapidly.

And it that's the case and the Soviets don't export oil but, in fact, are looking for oil, whether they actually buy it or not there will be pressure on the oil market as a result of this.

MR. USCHOLD: Admiral Turner, we have time for one more question. Looking down the road, looking into that crystal ball now, what personal goals and objectives do you have as Director of Central Intelligence?

ADMIRAL TURNER: The primary goal is to produce for the President, for the Congress, for the Defense Department and the other decision makers in our government as objective intelligence as we can. That's our job: to stand clear of policymaking, stand up and tell people what we think the true situation, the facts are, regardless of whether it supports what they like to hear or not.

Secondly, I'd like to be sure that the intelligence community as a whole is adapting to the

Б

.11

lŝ

Approved For Release 2007/10/19: CIA-RDP99-00498R000300040015-

future: the rapid changes of technology, the rapid change in the political scene around the world.

Think how different it is today than it was 30 years ago. And I believe because of that difference intelligence is more important than it ever was before.

Thirty years ago we were so far superior to anyone else in military power that intelligence while important was not critical.

But today when the balance of military power is much closer, if you do not have good intelligence to take advantage of what military strength you have, you may not make it.

And all of us in military uniforms should appreciate that because it may be the difference between victory and defeat.

Beyond that, John, I'd like to read you a quotation from an invocation that a Navy chaplain, Captain Jude (Senior) gave at my change of command when I left my NATO assignment in Naples a few months ago because I think it also epitomizes what I'd like to do and set as my goal here.

I had this framed because I liked it. He said: As the Director of Central Intelligence, may he add wisdom to intelligence to make his work a center of justice and an agency for peace at home and abroad

d,

17.

Approved For Release 2007/10/19: CIA-RDP99-00498R000300040015-2

for the family of all mankind. MR. USCHOLD: Admiral Turner, that's excellent, and I want to thank you for being with us on Directions. ADMIRAL TURNER: Thank you. I enjoyed this very much. (Whereupon, the program was ended.)